

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB NO. 0704-0188	
<p>The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA, 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.</p> <p>PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.</p>					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 26-01-2012		2. REPORT TYPE Final Report		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 3-Mar-2008 - 2-Sep-2011	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Applications and Extensions of Signature Theory to Modeling and Inference Problems in Engineering Reliability				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER W911NF-08-1-0077	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 611102	
				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
6. AUTHORS Francisco J. Samaniego				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAMES AND ADDRESSES University of California - Davis Sponsored Programs 118 Everson Hall Davis, CA 95616 -8671				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) ARO	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 53479-MA.2	
12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.					
14. ABSTRACT Research accomplished during the period 3/2/08 - 9/2/11 in ten specific areas of study is reported. This work includes the treatment of the problems of (1) comparing coherent or mixed systems of different sizes, and obtaining representation results for system reliability under relaxed assumptions on component lifetimes (e.g., exchangeability), (2) deriving new representations of system reliability for used systems known to be working at an inspection time t, (3) developing extensions of the notion of system signatures to dynamic reliability settings, with					
15. SUBJECT TERMS System Signatures, Reliability, Dynamic signatures, UNBU distributions, burn-in, joint signatures, nonparametric maximum likelihood estimation, asymptotic distribution theory, Bayesian estimation, comparative statistical inference, i.i.d. component lives,					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT		15. NUMBER OF PAGES
a. REPORT UU	b. ABSTRACT UU	c. THIS PAGE UU	UU		
					19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Francisco Samaniego
					19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER 530-564-0530

Report Title

Applications and Extensions of Signature Theory to Modeling and Inference Problems in Engineering Reliability

ABSTRACT

Research accomplished during the period 3/2/08 - 9/2/11 in ten specific areas of study is reported. This work includes the treatment of the problems of (1) comparing coherent or mixed systems of different sizes, and obtaining representation results for system reliability under relaxed assumptions on component lifetimes (e.g., exchangeability), (2) deriving new representations of system reliability for used systems known to be working at an inspection time t , (3) developing extensions of the notion of system signatures to dynamic reliability settings, with applications to nonparametric models in reliability and to the engineering practice of burn-in, (4) inference about a common component distribution F from system failure time data, (5) the derivation and application of the joint signature of pairs of systems with shared components, (6) a comparison of the Bayesian and frequentist approaches to estimation (a research monograph), (7) skewness and dispersion among convolutions of independent gamma variables, (8) signature-based representations for the reliability of systems with heterogeneous components, (9) network reliability (10) a proof of the "no internal zeros" property of system signatures.

Enter List of papers submitted or published that acknowledge ARO support from the start of the project to the date of this printing. List the papers, including journal references, in the following categories:

(a) Papers published in peer-reviewed journals (N/A for none)

<u>Received</u>	<u>Paper</u>
-----------------	--------------

TOTAL:

Number of Papers published in peer-reviewed journals:

(b) Papers published in non-peer-reviewed journals (N/A for none)

<u>Received</u>	<u>Paper</u>
-----------------	--------------

TOTAL:

Number of Papers published in non peer-reviewed journals:

(c) Presentations

Presentations at the Army Conference on Applied Statistics:

2008 - On Estimating Component Characteristics from Failure-Time Data on Fielded Systems of a Common Design

2009 -- On Joint Signatures of Coherent Sysytems with Shared Components

2010 -- On Network Reliability -- A Fresh look at some Basic Questions

2011 -- Estimating Component Characteristics Based on Lifetime Data from Multiple Systems

Number of Presentations: 4.00

Non Peer-Reviewed Conference Proceeding publications (other than abstracts):

<u>Received</u>	<u>Paper</u>
-----------------	--------------

TOTAL:

Number of Non Peer-Reviewed Conference Proceeding publications (other than abstracts):

Peer-Reviewed Conference Proceeding publications (other than abstracts):

Received Paper

TOTAL:

Number of Peer-Reviewed Conference Proceeding publications (other than abstracts):

(d) Manuscripts

Received Paper

2010/03/12 0: 1 Z. Li. AN OVERVIEW OF THE IMMERSERD INTERFACE METHOD AND ITS APPLICATIONS, (03 2010)

TOTAL: 1

Number of Manuscripts:

Books

Received Paper

TOTAL:

Patents Submitted

N/A

Patents Awarded

N/A

Awards

F. J. Samaniego received the U. S. Army Wilks Award in October, 2008. In 2009 – 2014, he is serving on the Selection Committee for the U. S. Army Wilks Award. Dr. Samaniego served as a Distinguished Lecturer of Sigma Xi (The Scientific Research Society) in 2009 - 2011. In spring, 2010, Dr. Samaniego was honored with a first place certificate among Mathematical Scientists nominated for the “Excellence in Teaching Award” given by the Associated Students of the University of California, Davis. In 2011 – 12, he is a finalist among UC Davis faculty nominated to serve as the 2012 Faculty Research Lecturer. The Academic Senate of the University of California, Davis, selects a single faculty member each year to serve as the Faculty Research Lecturer. The distinction is the highest honor bestowed on faculty members by the Academic Senate. The 2012 Faculty Research Lecturer will be announced in February, 2012.

Graduate Students

<u>NAME</u>	<u>PERCENT SUPPORTED</u>
FTE Equivalent:	
Total Number:	

Names of Post Doctorates

<u>NAME</u>	<u>PERCENT SUPPORTED</u>
FTE Equivalent:	
Total Number:	

Names of Faculty Supported

<u>NAME</u>	<u>PERCENT SUPPORTED</u>
-------------	--------------------------

FTE Equivalent:

Total Number:

Names of Under Graduate students supported

<u>NAME</u>	<u>PERCENT SUPPORTED</u>
-------------	--------------------------

FTE Equivalent:

Total Number:

Student Metrics

This section only applies to graduating undergraduates supported by this agreement in this reporting period

The number of undergraduates funded by this agreement who graduated during this period: 25.00

The number of undergraduates funded by this agreement who graduated during this period with a degree in science, mathematics, engineering, or technology fields:..... 20.00

The number of undergraduates funded by your agreement who graduated during this period and will continue to pursue a graduate or Ph.D. degree in science, mathematics, engineering, or technology fields:..... 15.00

Number of graduating undergraduates who achieved a 3.5 GPA to 4.0 (4.0 max scale):..... 8.00

Number of graduating undergraduates funded by a DoD funded Center of Excellence grant for Education, Research and Engineering:..... 0.00

The number of undergraduates funded by your agreement who graduated during this period and intend to work for the Department of Defense 1.00

The number of undergraduates funded by your agreement who graduated during this period and will receive scholarships or fellowships for further studies in science, mathematics, engineering or technology fields: 0.00

Names of Personnel receiving masters degrees

<u>NAME</u>

Total Number:

Names of personnel receiving PHDs

<u>NAME</u>

Total Number:

Names of other research staff

<u>NAME</u>	<u>PERCENT SUPPORTED</u>
-------------	--------------------------

FTE Equivalent:

Total Number:

Sub Contractors (DD882)

Inventions (DD882)

Scientific Progress

Specific accomplishments in ten areas of research supported by ARO grant W911NF-08-1-0077 (project number 53479-MA) are discussed below.

(1) Comparing coherent or mixed systems of different sizes, and obtaining representation results for system reliability under relaxed assumptions on component lifetimes (e.g., exchangeability).

In this work, general results are obtained which facilitate the comparison of mixed systems of different sizes. Specifically, for any given system in k i.i.d. components and for any $n > k$, an explicit formula is obtained for the signature of the n -component mixed system in similar independent components whose reliability function is identical to that of the original (smaller) system. This result renders applicable the preservation theorems of Kochar, Mukerjee and Samaniego (Naval Research Logistics, 1999) which apply to the comparison of mixed systems of the same size. It is then shown that these results may be extended to vectors of exchangeable random lifetimes. In this latter context (which of course includes the i.i.d. case), representation theorems for the reliability function of an arbitrary mixed system are obtained in terms of stochastic mixtures and generalized mixtures of the reliability functions of ordered component failure times. These new mixture representations are used to obtain new stochastic ordering properties for pairs of mixed systems of arbitrary sizes.

(2) Derivations of new representations of system reliability for used systems known to be working at an inspection time t .

The representation of the reliability function of the lifetime of a coherent system as a mixture of the reliability functions of order statistics associated with the lifetimes of its components is a very useful tool to study the ordering and the limiting behaviour of coherent systems. Under various conditions on the status of the components or the system, we obtain several representations of the reliability functions of residual lifetimes of used coherent systems in terms of the reliability functions of residual lifetimes of order statistics. For example, we establish new representations for the reliability function of a used but working system, i.e., for the distribution of the system lifetime T given that it is known that $T > t$. Our result reveals that the reliability function of the residual lifetime of the system at time t , that is, the reliability function of $T-t$ given $T > t$, is a mixture of the residual lifetimes of the order statistics of the n component lifetimes at time t (that is, a mixture of the reliability functions of $X_{i:n} - t$ given $X_{i:n} > t$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$). This representation applies to mixed systems and also to coherent systems with less than n components since the latter are equal in distribution to specific mixed systems with n components. Further, the representation theorem may be used to compare the residual lifetimes of a system at different ages.

(3) Extensions of the notion of system signatures to dynamic reliability settings, with applications to nonparametric models in reliability and to the engineering practice of burn-in,

System signatures are quite useful tools in the study and comparison of engineered systems whose components have i.i.d. lifetimes. In this paper, the theory of system signatures (Samaniego (1985)) is adapted to versions of signatures applicable in dynamic reliability settings. It is shown that, when a working used system is inspected at time t , the $(n-k)$ -vector s with j th element $s(j) = P(T = X_{k+j:n} | \{T > t\} \text{ and } \{X_{k:n} = t < X_{k+1:n}\})$ for $j = 1, \dots, n-k$, for given k for which $P(\{T > t\} \text{ and } \{X_{k:n} = t < X_{k+1:n}\}) > 0$, is a distribution-free measure of the design of the residual system. Dynamic versions of known representation and preservation theorems for system signatures are then established. Two applications of dynamic signatures are studied in detail. The well-known (NBU) property of aging systems is extended to a uniform (UNBU) version which compares new systems with working used systems, conditional on the known number of failures. A theoretical result is given which provides sufficient conditions for a system to have the UNBU property. The application of dynamic signatures to a particular version of the engineering practice of "burn in" is also treated. Specifically, we consider the comparison of new systems and working used systems burned in to a given ordered component failure time. In a reliability economics framework, we illustrate how one might compare a new system to one successfully burned in to the k th component failure, and we identify circumstances in which burn in is superior (or inferior) to the fielding of a new system.

(4) Statistical inference about a common component distribution F from system failure time data.

Suppose that failure times are available from a random sample of N systems of a given, fixed design with components which have i.i.d. lifetimes distributed according to a common distribution F . The inverse problem of estimating F from data on observed system lifetimes is considered. This is a problem of some importance in engineering reliability, as using the known relationship between the system and component lifetime distributions via signature and domination theory allows one to make precise predictions about future system performance. The nonparametric maximum likelihood estimator $F^*(t)$ of the component distribution function $F(t)$ is identified and shown to be accessible numerically in any application of interest. The asymptotic distribution of $F^*(t)$ is also identified, facilitating the construction of approximate confidence intervals for $F(t)$ when N is sufficiently large. Simulation results for samples of size $N = 50$ and $N = 100$ for a collection of five parametric lifetime models demonstrate the efficacy of the recommended estimator is small to moderate sized samples.

(5) The derivation and application of the joint signature of pairs of systems with shared components.

The goal of the present study has been to consider extensions of the concept of system signatures to bivariate situations in which pairs of systems share some components and thus have dependent lifetimes. The problem explored here is motivated by examples of sharing of components in the design of selected computer networks. In this work, we obtain representations for the joint distribution (and joint reliability function) of pairs of coherent systems with shared components under the assumption that all components have i.i.d. lifetimes with common distribution F . The expression derived for the joint distribution G of the two system lifetimes is shown to depend on a pair of matrices S and S^* , each of which has “total mass” 1. The pair (S, S^*) is referred to as the joint signature, and, under the assumption i.i.d. component lifetimes, it is distribution free, that is it does not depend on the underlying component distribution F . Given two pairs of such joint systems, we have studied various forms of stochastic ordering among the systems’ joint lifetimes. In particular, we provide sufficient conditions on the joint signatures of the two pairs of systems to ensure that the two joint lifetimes satisfy a specific bivariate stochastic ordering. Similar results are obtained in studying the ordering of two joint reliability functions. Applications of “joint signatures” of systems with shared components include, for example, the computation of measures of dependence between the lifetimes of two systems with shared components and the estimation of the residual lifetime distribution of one of these two systems, given that the other is known to have failed at a fixed time t .

(6) A comparison of the Bayesian and frequentist approaches to estimation (a research monograph)

In the 2010 research monograph "A Comparison of the Bayesian and Frequentist Approaches to Estimation" (New York: Springer, Inc.), the Principal Investigator, F. J. Samaniego, presents a comprehensive overview of results on Bayesian inference obtained by the PI over the past 20 years, including separate chapters on recent research on (a) combining information from ‘related’ experiments, (b) the relevance of the new concept of Bayesian self consistency in solving the Bayesian consensus problem and (c) estimating stress and strength distributions in a nonidentifiable model in reliability, all carried out under ARO support. The following is a brief summary of the monograph’s contents.

The monograph contributes to the area of comparative statistical inference. It restricts attention to the important subfield of statistical estimation. A detailed review of Decision Theory and the frequentist and Bayesian approaches to estimation is presented and carefully discussed in Chapters 1 – 3. The “threshold problem”, that is, the problem of identifying the boundary between Bayes estimators which tend to outperform standard frequentist estimators and Bayes estimators which don’t, is formulated in an analytically tractable way in Chapter 4. The formulation includes a specific (decision-theory based) criterion for comparing estimators. The centerpiece of the monograph is Chapter 5 in which, under quite general conditions, an explicit solution to the threshold problem is obtained for the case of estimating a scalar parameter under squared error loss. Chapters 6 – 11 treat a collection of other contexts in which the threshold problem can be productively addressed. Included are treatments of the Bayesian consensus problem, extensions of the univariate results obtained in Chapter 5 under a symmetric loss function to estimation problems involving of multi-dimensional parameters and/or asymmetric loss, the estimation of nonidentifiable parameters, empirical Bayes methods for combining data from ‘similar’ experiments and linear Bayes methods for combining data from ‘related’ experiments. The developments in Chapter 11 are motivated by the problem of handling data from separate developmental and operational tests in military acquisitions processes. Chapter 12 provides an overview of the monograph’s highlights and a discussion of areas and problems in need of further research.

(7) Skewness and dispersion among convolutions of independent gamma variables.

A vector $x \in R(n)$ reciprocally majorizes another vector $y \in R(n)$ (written as $x \succ_{(rm)} y$) if the SUM ($i = 1$ to j) of terms $1/x(i)$ exceeds the SUM ($i = 1$ to j) of terms $1/y(i)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where $x(1) \leq \dots \leq x(n)$ and $y(1) \leq \dots \leq y(n)$. Suppose that $a = 1$, and let $i = 1, \dots, n$, be independent random variables such that $\{X(a, \lambda(i))\}$ have the gamma distributions with parameters a and $\lambda(i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, respectively, with common shape parameter a . Let $Y = \text{SUM} (i = 1 \text{ to } n) \text{ of terms } X(a, \lambda(i))$ and $Y^* = \text{SUM} (i = 1 \text{ to } n) \text{ of } X(a, \lambda^*(i))$. In this work, we show that if $\lambda \succ_{(rm)} \lambda^*$, then Y is greater than Y^* relative to the “right spread order” as well as relative to the “mean residual order”. We also prove that if the vector of reciprocals of the vector λ majorizes the vector of reciprocals of the vector λ^* , then Y is greater than Y^* relative to the “new better than used order” as well as relative to the “Lorenz order”. These results generalize recent work by Kochar and Xu (2009) and Zhao and Balakrishnan (2009) for convolutions of independent exponential random variables to convolutions of independent gamma variables with a common shape parameter greater than equal to 1 (that is, the “increasing failure rate” (IFR) members of this parametric family).

(8) Signature-based representations for the reliability of systems with heterogeneous components.

Signature based representations of the reliability functions of coherent systems with i.i.d. component lifetimes have proven very useful in studying the aging characteristics of such systems and in comparing the performance of different systems under varied criteria. In this paper, we consider extensions of these results to systems with heterogeneous components. New

representation theorems are established for both the case of components with independent but differing lifetimes (the so-called i.n.i.d. case) and for the case of component lifetimes under specific forms of dependence. Conditions are given under which the lifetimes of different systems with non-i.i.d. component lifetimes via order restrictions such as stochastic, hazard rate and likelihood ratio ordering. This paper contains the very useful but surprising result that the reliability function of a coherent system in n independent components can be written explicitly as a function of the signature of the system (a measure which is defined under the assumption of i.i.d. component lifetimes) and the reliability functions of the order statistics associated with a random sample drawn from a distribution G that depends solely on the individual component distributions $\{F(1), F(2), \dots, F(n)\}$.

(9) Network reliability.

In communication networks, "connectivity" is the quality characteristic of primary interest. A network with v vertices and n edges is denoted by the symbol $G(v, n)$. A network with k "distinguished vertices", where $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, is connected if there is at least one set of functioning edges providing a path from any of the k distinguished terminals to any other. As is commonly assumed, vertices function with certainty, while each edge has a fixed probability of functioning at any given point in time. It is also assumed that, at a fixed point in time t , edges work independently with a common probability $p = p(t)$. Under this assumption, the reliability of a network with n edges may be written as an n th degree polynomial in p . Two networks can be compared through these polynomials, and the search for a uniformly optimal network (UON) could, at least conceptually, be based on them. In Boland, Samaniego and Vestrup (2003), a new tool, the signature of a network, is introduced. Like signatures of coherent systems, the signature of a network is the probability distribution s on the integers $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with $s_i = P(T = X_{i:n})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where $X_{1:n} < X_{2:n} < \dots < X_{n:n}$ are the order statistics from a random sample of the lifetimes of edges drawn from a continuous distribution F , and T is the lifetime of the network. A network's signature depends on the type of connectivity that is required of the network.

Approaching the identification of uniformly optimal networks (UON) via stochastic ordering showed early promise (see Boesch et al., Networks, 1991) but was found to be yield inconclusive results (it was found that there exists infinite collection of network classes that do not contain any network that was uniformly optimal relative to a stochastic ordering criterion). Specifically, Myrvold, Cheung, Page and Perry (Networks, 1991) provided a collection of examples showing that for some classes of networks, e.g., for the network class

$G(v, C(v, 2) - v/2 - 1)$, where $C(v, 2)$ is " v choose 2", where v is an even value exceeding 5, a UON does not exist. They demonstrated the existence of a network in each class which dominated every other network in the class for p sufficiently large, but was inferior to an alternative network for p sufficiently small. Recently, Samaniego and McAssey (2011) reexamined the class of $G(6, 11)$ networks (the Myrvold et al. class with $v = 6$), taking an alternative approach which replaces the stochastic ordering metric by the stochastic precedence metric. The $G(6, 11)$ class contains 1365 possible network designs. The signatures of these $G(6, 11)$ networks were shown to be totally ordered in stochastic precedence. A particular network, singled out by Myrvold et al. as optimal for sufficiently large p but suboptimal for sufficiently small p (all relative to stochastic ordering), is shown to be uniformly optimal in the $G(6, 11)$ class relative to the stochastic precedence ordering. This striking finding breathes new life into the problem of identifying uniformly optimal networks within various classes of networks. It demonstrates that the stochastic ordering criterion is too strong to be a useful metric in comparisons of network reliability, but that such comparisons could be definitively made using the alternative criterion of stochastic precedence. Continuing research in this area is focused on determining the extent to which these findings generalize.

(10) A proof of the "no internal zeros" property of system signatures.

Consider a coherent system in n components having independent, identically distributed (i.i.d.) lifetimes. The signature of the system is an n -dimensional vector $s = (s(1), \dots, s(n))$ representing the probability distribution of the index of the ordered component failure which causes the system to fail. Ross et al. (Mathematics of Operations Research, 1980) established that the number N of failed components at the time a system fails has the discrete IFRA property. This fact implies that the signature of a system of arbitrary order n cannot have internal zeros, that is, there exist no integers i in the set $\{1, \dots, n-2\}$ and j in the set $\{2, \dots, n-i\}$ for which $s(i) > 0$ and $s(i+j) > 0$ while $s(i+1) = s(i+2) = \dots = s(i+j-1) = 0$. The proof given by Ross et al. (1980) is clever and elegant, but it uses rather sophisticated mathematical tools which make the intuition behind the NIZ property somewhat difficult to discern. Since the no-internal-zeros property (of system signatures) is of independent interest and has some practical utility, a direct proof of the property from basic principles and one which provides some intuitive understanding of the property would have both didactic and practical value. In this note, an elementary proof of the property is provided. The property is also shown to hold for certain mixed systems, that is, for certain stochastic mixtures of coherent systems.

Technology Transfer

Publications by Principal Investigator F. J. Samaniego in years 2008 – 2011
under the support of ARO Grant W911-08-1-0077

BOOKS:

- [1] *A Comparison of the Bayesian and Frequentist Approaches to Estimation* (2010), New York: Springer.
- [2] *Nonparametric Statistical Methods and Related Topics: A Festschrift on the Occasion of Professor P. K. Bhattacharya's 80th Birthday*, (2011), Singapore: World Scientific Press (jointly edited with J. Jiang and G. Roussas).

ARTICLES AND BOOK CHAPTERS

- [1] "Systems with Weighted Components", *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 78, 815 – 23 (2008) (with M. Shaked)
- [2] "Applications and Extensions of System Signatures in Engineering Reliability", *Naval Research Logistics*, 55, 313 – 327 (2008) (with J. Navarro, N. Balakrishnan and D. Bhattacharya)
- [3] "On Comparing the Reliability of Arbitrary Systems via Stochastic Precedence", *Advances in Mathematical Modeling for Reliability*, T. Bedford, J. Quigley, L. Walls, B. Alkali, A. Daneshkhah and G. Hardman, Editors, 129 – 137, (2008) IOS Press (with M. Hollander)
- [4] "A Conversation with Myles Hollander", *Statistical Science*, (2008) (with D. Bhattacharya)
- [5] "Mixture Representations of Residual Lifetimes of Used Systems", *Naval Research Logistics*, **45** (December, 2008) (with J. Navarro and N. Balakrishnan)

- [6] “Dynamic Signatures and their Use in Comparing the Reliability of New and Used Systems”, *Naval Research Logistics*, **56**, 577 – 91, (2009) (with J. Navarro and N. Balakrishnan)
- [7] “On Estimating Component Characteristics from System Failure-Time Data”, *Naval Research Logistics*, **57**, 380 – 89 (2010) (with D. Bhattacharya)
- [8] “The Joint Signature of Coherent Systems with Shared Components”, *Journal of Applied Probability*, **47**, 235 – 253 (2010) (with J. Navarro and N. Balakrishnan)
- [9] “Obituary: Miguel Angel Arcones”, *IMS Bulletin*, **39**, 6 (March, 2010) (with E. Gine, D. Mason and A. Schick)
- [10] “A Conversation with George G. Roussas”, *Statistical Science*, **31**, 320 – 345 (2011), (with D. Bhattacharya).
- [11] “David Blackwell as an Outstanding Teacher and Expositor” (2011) in Roussas, G., Editor, “A Tribute to David Blackwell”, *Notices of the American Mathematical Society*, **58**, 912- 928.
- [12] “On Skewness and Dispersion among Convolutions of Independent Gamma Variables”, *Probability in the Engineering and Informational Sciences*, **25**, 55-69 (2011). (with L. Amiri and B.-E. Khaladi)
- [13] “On the Scholarly Work of P. K. Bhattacharya”, in *Nonparametric Statistical Methods and Related Topics: A Festschrift on the Occasion of P. K. Bhattacharya's 80th Birthday*, (2011), Singapore: World Scientific (with P. Hall)
- [14] “Signature-based Representations for the Reliability of Systems with Heterogeneous Components”, *Journal of Applied Probability*, (2011) (with J. Navarro and N. Balakrishnan)

- [15] “Network Reliability: A Fresh Look at some Basic Questions”, *Proceedings: The 15th Army Conference on Applied Statistics*, MD: Aberdeen Proving Ground, (2011) (with M. McAssey)
- [16] “Signature Representation and Preservation Results for Engineered Systems and Applications to Statistical Inference”, chapter in *Advances in Reliability: Signatures, Multistate Systems, Preventive Maintenance and Statistical Inference*, Frenkel, I., Gertsbakh, I. and Lisniansky, A. (Editors), New York: Wiley and Sons, in press (with N. Balakrishnan and J. Navarro)
- [17] “Estimating Component Characteristics Based on Lifetime Data from Multiple Systems, (2011), *Proceedings of the 17th Army Conference on Applied Statistics* (October, 2011) MD: Army Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, to appear (with P. Hall and Y. Jin)
- [18] “On Universally Optimal Networks: A Reversal of Fortune?”, submitted for publication (with M. McAssey)
- [19] “An Elementary Proof of the “No Internal Zeros” Property of System Signatures”, submitted for publication (with J. Navarro)
- [20] “A Signature-based Approach to Comparisons among Multiple Systems (2011), submitted for publication (with M. Hollander and M. P. McAssey)